

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 3630 of 2015

Date of decision: 17.8.2015

Sh. Puran Mal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The HPSEB Ltd. and another.

...Respondents

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioners:

Mr.A.K. Gupta, Advocate with
Ms.Babita, Advocate.

For the Respondents:

Mr. Raj Pal Singh Thakur, Advocate.

Dharam Chand Chaudhary, J. (oral).

The writ petition is filed with the following prayer:-

“i. That the respondents may be ordered to grant work charge status to the petitions from the dates they completed 8 years of service with all the benefits incidental thereof and to follow the law laid down in Rakesh Kumar’s case.”

2. According to the petitioners, the issue is covered in their favour by the judgment of this Court rendered in **CWP No. 2735 of 2010**, titled **Rakesh Kumar versus State of H.P. & others** on

Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?

28.7.2010 and upheld by the Apex Court while dismissing the SLP filed against the same on 15.1.2015. If that be so and in case the petitioners are similarly situated, treatment as extended to the petitioner in the above referred decision be also extended to the petitioners herein, within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment along with a copy of the writ petition and a copy of the judgment referred to above by the petitioners before the 2nd respondent.

3. With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

**(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge.**

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan),
Judge.**

17th August, 2015

(KRS)